

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/820,865 | Applicant(s) KORALL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Daniel A. Nolan | Art Unit 2654 | |

All Participants:

(1) Daniel A. Nolan.

(2) Wm. Mandir (202)293-7060.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Ms Natalia Dvorson.

(4) Ms Ruthleen Uy.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2004

Time: 2:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Election/restriction of claims 30-38 appropriate in view of altered emphasis on input mode of claims 1-29 and 39-46 distinct from geography map of claims 30-38; Applicant will confirm with client by Tuesday, September 28.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)